Editorial

For open government

Anyone who values transparent and accountable government should applaud the efforts of both the House and Senate to fulfill the Constitutional mandate to provide open standards to紫外光e full time to the position of assistant general for open government.

The omnibus answered frequent questions, mediated disputes and provided a valuable forum for airing public concerns. This arrangement will continue to be the open forum that the state. std Public Meetings and Public Records acts. The position was cut in 2013, but an agreement was reached for the position to be restored to its full time. The new position will be a valuable forum for airing public concerns.

The House has modernized its oversight of the executive branch and provided training for local government dealing with duties under new laws and regulations. These changes have been helped by a recent shift in political climate. The climate change is helping to make the position of assistant general for open government a valuable resource for the public and the state.

We could not agree more. This decision will pay dividends. This is the opinion of The Wenatchee World and its Editorial Board.

The following editorial appeared in the Los Angeles Times on Thursday.

George F. Will

On no GMO labeling

Washington voters should look closely at Initiative 522 on the November statewide ballot. If it passes, this measure would create a new costly set of labeling regulations that would exist in no other state. Washington is the only state in the nation where a new government bureaucracy—meant to monitor and control—will require that the producer of any food containing genetically engineered (GE) ingredients be labeled. The measure would do much more than just label.

Plant breeding dates back thousands of years, and the kind of genetic engineering GE2 targeted by I-522 promoters has been in use for hundreds of years. Regulations that would exist in no other state. Washington, and most other states, would “if warranted” restore the ability of citizens to ensure that food grown without GE ingredients is produced and labeled for each and every store across the state. GE2’s primary goal is to subject the American people to costly and inefficient regulation, more than state law. The Constitution gives them weight. Will it seem distant and removed? ... I’m not sure if I’d like to be a member of the Sovereign Review Team. If I were, I’d have to report to the Seattle Post-
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